CFO Perspective

Joe Plummer (August 16-17, 2007)



CFO Outreach and Listening Initiative

TBP group began its "Gain Broad Support & Funding" Phase of the master plan by reaching out to Finance at an industry level for help.

First, to gain a better understanding of the CFO's perspective regarding the role of marketing and marketing metrics in the business and accounting processes. What is the influence of SOX?



CFO Outreach and Listening Initiative

The program will continue until 15-20 CFOs are represented in the data base and 12-16 of them (or their CMOs) have become Charter Members of MASB and Founding Trustees of the MAF.

- Interviews completed at Visa, Colgate, Frito-Lay, and NBC Universal
- Interviews planned at McCann Worldgroup, Pepsi, Kraft and Kellogg



CFO Learning to Date

- Lack of systematic marketing metrics related to financial performance
- Efforts underway to build ROI, ROO to revenue. A few looking at P&L
- Desire for partnership with marketing. Barriers are:
 - □ Roles (growth vs. profits / ideas vs. control)
 - Different Metrics
 - Strategic Focus (brands vs. overall performance)



CFO Learning to Date

- Planning is toughest challenge
 - □ Lack of hard evidence on drivers of success
 - Dynamics of marketplace
 - □ Impact of competitors
- Accounting for / Control of marketing expenses in good shape
- Determining factors of success (or shortfall) time consuming and difficult
- Companies increasing analytic horsepower
 - Reporting to finance
 - Consultants



CFO Learning to Date

- Marketing is not perceived as
 - Management
 - Strategic
 - Profit Driven
- Marketing is perceived as
 - Innovative
 - Crucial for growth
 - Brand Builders
- Distinction "fuzzy" between getting availability and creating brand demand. Expenditures treated differently

The CFO Feedback

How expenditures are justified:

Based on estimates during planning process...no way to prove effectiveness, supply chain has strict controls and metrics.

How expenditures are accounted for:

Expensed when spent, except new products (investment components).

Comfort w/current audit/control processes:

Comfortable w/internal processes, concern w/soft objectives, valid metrics, analysis of what working/not. SOX not an issue.

The CFO Feedback (Metrics)

Types of marketing performance info/metrics reported up to CFO: Brand P&L, Brand health, SOV, Market Share, Repeat, Premium Price, Spend as % Sales...but dashboard metrics not linked to financials

Information useful:

Brand Health, Market Share, Repeat, Premium Price

Not useful:

SOV, Brand and sales info not aligned to financials

Info/metrics that would be useful:

Areas & tactics w/higher return, in-store return; predict competitive impact & new ideas; true ROI metrics; linking marketing & sales to growth.

The CFO Feedback (Metrics)

Metrics reliably tied to financials:

None currently; some short term spending relates to P&L but brand equity metrics don't.

Metrics that anticipate/forecast future performance:

None have been forward validated yet; weak spot is new products & advertising.

Metrics that help inform overall business investment decisions: Some have allowed spend reduction

Metrics that have helped improve performance:

Tactical, but important; Promotion spend, value of packaging, line extensions.

The CFO Feedback (Wish List & Standard's Body)

Marketing performance information that could be more useful:

Measures on timing of returns, especially advertising and New Product Development; forecasting cannibalization and competitive moves; return for portfolio; better definitions of causality.

Value in FASB/ISO like standards body for marketing: Yes, YES! Yes. One not asked.

Willing to fund/become Trustee:

Yes, will review w/CEO, two not asked.

Other CFOs that might be interested:

Don't go to competitors (2); will get meeting for you; did not ask

The CFO is comfortable with his/her control processes in marketing, especially with regard to SOX and procurement standards.



There is, however, universal need for true marketing ROI metrics...valid/predictive performance (return) metrics which can be integrated w/performance metrics from other parts of the value chain and which tie to both short-term and longer term financials.

This need for metrics is especially true for advertising and in-store/similar "channel" activities as well as competitive moves.

A revolution is underway to change mental models of advertising effectiveness. (SOV → Engagement)

The potential value of a self-governing standards body is seen as adding value in meeting these needs.

We must increase activities to gain more learning and Charter Investors.

Thank you!

Wait, there's more...



Summary of ANA Survey

While ANA study objectives differ from The Boardroom Project Initiative, observations, findings & implications not dissimilar:

- All companies SOX compliant (not concerned)
- Lots of differences regarding how marketing is perceived, discussed, reviewed and managed
- Spending <u>effectively</u> only rated 6 on scale of 1-10
- Not interested in universal metrics
- Interested in industry specific definitions/terminology and ability to benchmark spending categories

Summary of ANA Survey

What is Expected of Marketing:

- ■Uncompromising integrity of processes, procedures, and measurements by the marketing function
- ■Efficient & effective in all activities in a measurable manner
- ■Predictable & transparent revenue & expense forecasts
- Usable for internal and external reporting
- Innovation and growth of the top line

Those interviewed by ANA did not see the link between what is expected of marketing and the need for measurement & accountability standards.

