

---

# **MASB Standards Project**

## **Improving Financial Reporting (IFR) Project Review and Status**

**Michael Moore  
Professor of Accounting  
University of California Riverside  
MASB Advisor  
Co-Lead IFR Project  
August 2012  
Chicago**



**Marketing Accountability Standards Board  
of the Marketing Accountability Foundation**

# Objectives of IFR Project

**Partner with the Financial Reporting and Investment communities for improving the accounting and/or reporting rules related to marketing such that financial returns from corporations will be driven and measured by buyer behavior in markets over time.**

**Ensure marketing is at the table when reporting of Brand Value is required for internally developed brands.**

# Expected Results

Partnering to improve financial reporting will

- Require firms to apply marketing measurement & accountability standards consistently & comprehensively
- Achieve discipline & rigor in investment decisions & budgeting
- Position marketing as masters of their own territory & destiny
- Avoid external intervention (e.g. from government)
- Create value for all, including
  - Better/more transparent reporting
  - Increase in Marketing ROI
  - Predictable & consistent organic growth
  - Improvement in corporate profitability
  - More/better offerings at less cost to meet needs of society

# IFR Team Action Plan (August 2012)

- I. Frame-Up Project, open debate /approval by MASB Directors (April –May 2010)\*
- II. Form Exploratory Team (June - August)
- III. Lesson from FASB as to how the rules are changed (August)
- IV. Review ISO 10668 (Scholz) & POV FASRI Director (Bloomfield) (Feb 2011)
- V. Review What is Known & Address Feedback so far (Feb – April)
- VI. POV from CFAi speaker (Pirie) at Summer Summit (Aug)
- VII. Rename/Reposition Project/Revise EI (Oct)
- VIII. Submit Comments to IASB for 2011 agenda (Nov)
- IX. Line up speakers for Feb/Winter Summit (Nov – Jan)
- X. MD&A “notes” drafted, reviewed, revised & approved by MASB (Feb – June 2012)
- XI. Broader feedback on “MD&A notes” @ Summer Summit (August)
- XII. Further engagement w/Financial & Investment Communities
  - CFOs (CFO Magazine Paper) (July-Sept)
  - TBD
- XIII. Approach Appropriate Partner(s) based on Feedback & Relationships (TBD)
- XIV. Partnership Agreement (s) & 1<sup>st</sup> Project Designated\* (TBD)
- XV. Revisit ANSI/ISO Option (TBD)
- XVI. Reporting rules changed (2014 )

- Current Accounting Treatment
- Criteria for Capitalizing Expenditures
- Accounting Treatment for Acquired Intangibles
- Fair Value Accounting
- Some Significant Hurdles
- Weakness of Not Using Fair Value Accounting for Marketing Assets
- The Prospects for Fair Value Accounting for Marketing Assets
- On the Other Hand
- MASB Proposal
- SEC MD&A Objectives
- Example of Disclosure for Product Brand Values
- Example of Disclosure for Corporate Brand Values
- Benefits of the Approach
- Additional Benefits
- Conclusion

# Current Accounting Treatment

- ❑ Under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) or International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) rules, the costs of marketing intangibles are usually expensed as incurred
- ❑ Thus, the cost of internally developed intangibles are not usually reported on the balance sheet

# Criteria for Capitalizing Expenditures

- ❑ Assets are only if probable future economic benefits are anticipated
- ❑ Marketing assets are expensed (along with many other intangibles) because it is assumed that the benefit period is presumed short or the amount of economic benefit cannot be determined

# Accounting Treatment for Acquired Intangibles

- ❑ Recording assets at fair value under GAAP or IAS is generally limited to intangible assets acquired in a business combination or otherwise purchased
- ❑ Purchased intangibles are recorded at historical cost (market at the time of purchase) and are adjusted down by amortization or impairment and never written up to market



# Fair Value Accounting

- ❑ Assets are written up or down depending on market-based measurement (not entity specific)
- ❑ Valuation methods are market approach, income approach and/or a cost approach
- ❑ The other side of the adjustment is to an income or loss account either in the income statement or Other Comprehensive Income, an equity account

# Some Significant Hurdles

- ❑ **The Public Companies Oversight Board (PCAOB) examined 250 audits for 2010 performed by Big Four accounting firms**
- ❑ **234 audit deficiencies were found of which 92 related to fair-value estimates and 31 related to asset impairments**
- ❑ **Problems for the auditors with management forecasts and assumptions and methodologies that went in to modeling used by corporate pricing services**

# Weakness of Not Using Fair Value Accounting for Marketing Assets

- Balance sheet does not adequately reflect the fair value of marketing assets or in most cases even their existence
- Treatment falls short of providing information for evaluation of marketing effectiveness, investment and portfolio optimization, asset management and benchmarking

# The Prospects for Fair Value Accounting for Marketing Assets

- ❑ Recording marketing assets on the balance sheet seems years in the future
- ❑ Developing a model for recording internally developed marketing assets that measures fair value is critical to its acceptance by FASB and IAS
- ❑ Brand Investment Valuation Model (BIV) Project is a great start

# On the Other Hand

- **MASB accepts that fair value reporting of marketing assets on the balance sheet is likely years in the future**
- **Nonetheless, there is a strong need for a consistent dashboard measure for management and investors who need to know if a firm is creating value through investments in advertising and other marketing activities**

# MASB Proposal

- **MASB is proposing that disclosure be made in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) section of the firm's annual report**
- **The MD&A disclosure seems to be a solid first step in encouraging development of marketing expenditures and results as seen through the eyes of management**

# SEC MD&A Objectives

- 1. Provide a narrative explanation of a company's financial statements that enables investors to see the company through the eyes of management**
- 2. Enhance the overall financial disclosure and provide the context within which financial information should be analyzed**
- 3. Provide information about the quality of, and potential variability of, a company's earnings and cash flow, so that investors can ascertain the likelihood that past performance is indicative of future performance**

# Example of Disclosure for Product Brand Values

- **“As of June 30, 2012, the senior management of Gadget Corporation values the Widget Brand at \$2.9B, up 7% from a year ago, and 20% over the past 3 years. We estimate this value using the methodology provided by ValuePack LLC, a MASB Qualified Brand Valuator”**



# Example of Disclosure for Corporate Brand Values

- **“We, the senior management of Alpha Corporation, believe the value of our corporate brand as of December 31, 2011, is \$26.4 billion, up 2.7% from a year ago, and down 3.8% over the past 3 years. We estimate this value using the methodology provided by TopBrand, LLC, a MASB Qualified Brand Valuator”**

# Benefits of the Approach

- Both valuations will use continuous quantitative research study models based on how investments in the product and corporate brand impact future cash flows
- Expected impact of marketing without disruption of current accounting procedures
- Unification of finance and marketing toward common goal of increasing enterprise value

# Additional Benefits

- **Consistent diagnostic evaluation of the long-term financial health of a company**
- **Provides dashboard measure by which company management, investors and employees alike would be able to determine if investments in marketing provided the company with an effective return**

# Conclusion

- **MASB's ultimate goal is to reliably tie marketing actions to customer impact, to market outcomes and to financial returns**
- **MASB's ongoing projects are geared to meet this ultimate goal**

# Q & A



# Thank-you!



Marketing Accountability Standards Board  
of the Marketing Accountability Foundation