MASB Standards Project

Improving Financial Reporting (IFR) Project Review and Status

Jim Gregory, Founder & CEO CoreBrand Michael Moore, Professor of Accounting University of California Riverside MASB Advisors Co-Leads IFR Project February 2013 Las Vegas



Marketing Accountability Standards Board of the Marketing Accountability Foundation

The MASB Game Changers





Overall Intent

- Financial reporting of Brand Value is required for acquired brands
- In time, a similar treatment for internally developed brands will be required
- MASBs intent is that we are prepared (BIV Team)
 - With "generally accepted brand investment & valuation standards"
 - Including "principles", standards/methods & examples
 - That provide consistency, comparability, credibility and actionability
- And are seated at the financial reporting table (IFR Team)
 - Working in partnership with the reporting bodies
 - As they move further in this direction
 - To guarantee that there is marketing input (metrics)
- It makes no sense that brand valuations have no marketing input
 - Brand Value lies in the hearts, minds & hands (choices) of customers
 - Finance has believed that marketing is art & can't be measured
 - With outcome metrics that relate to/predict market & financial results
- Perfect time to step up...and move up the food chain

Expected Results

Partnering to improve financial reporting will

- Require firms to apply marketing measurement & accountability standards consistently & comprehensively
- Achieve discipline & rigor in investment decisions & budgeting
- Position marketing as masters of their own territory & destiny
- Avoid external intervention (e.g. from government)
- Create value for all, including
 - Better/more transparent reporting
 - Increase in Marketing ROI
 - Predictable & consistent organic growth
 - Improvement in corporate profitability
 - More/better offerings at less cost to meet needs of society



In dialogue with



The FASB and Accounting Standards-setting Sue Bielstein, Director of Planning and Support FASB Presentation to MASB (August 2010)



One Accounting Professor's Perspective on MASB's Objectives Robert Bloomfield, Cornell University Director, Financial Accounting Standards Research Initiative (FASRI) Presentation to MASB (February 2011)



ISO 10668: Brand Valuation Christopher Schotz, Chairperson ISO Committee on Brand Valuation Presentation to MASB (February 2011)



In dialogue with (cont)



Brand from the Investor Side Wendy Pirie, Director Curriculum Projects Education Division at CFA Institute Presentation to MASB (August 2011)



Fair Value Measurement & IFRSB Agenda Hilary Eastman, Leader Investor Liaison Program IFRSB Various emails & guidance (May 2011 – Feb 2012)



Communicating Value in the 21st Century Change in Requirements for Reporting Intangible Assets Bob Laux, Sr Director Accounting & Reporting Microsoft Corporation Working Member, International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) Member of the Global Preparers Forum (IFRSB) Presentations to MASB (February 2012)



In dialogue with (cont)



Integrated Reporting: What it is and Where it Stands Lisa French, Head of External Relations International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) Keynote Presentations to MASB (February 2013)



IFR Team Action Plan (January 2013)

I. Frame-Up Project, open debate /approval by MASB Directors (April –May 2010)*

- II. Form Exploratory Team (June August)
- III. Lesson from FASB as to how the rules are changed (August)
- IV. Review ISO 10668 (Scholz) & POV FASRI Director (Bloomfield) (Feb 2011)
- V. Review What is Known & Address Feedback so far (Feb April)
- VI. POV from CFAi speaker (Pirie) at Summer Summit (Aug)
- VII. Rename/Reposition Project/Revise EI (Oct)
- VIII. Submit Comments to IFRSB for 2011 agenda (Nov)
- IX. Line up speakers for Feb/Winter Summit (Nov Jan)

X. MD&A "notes" drafted, reviewed, revised & approved by MASB (Feb – June 2012) XI. Broader feedback on "MD&A notes" @ Summer Summit (August)

XII. Engagement w/Financial & Investment Communities

Publish Papers

→ Valuing Brands (CFR Nov/Dec 2012); Intangibles Paradox Ongoing Dialogue w/Potential Partners

✓ IIRC, IFRSB, CFA, SEC, FASB, ISO, Conference Board

✓ XIII. Keynote Speakers at Summits

FASB, ISO, FASB, CFA, IIRC, IFRSB, SEC, Conference Board

XIV. Approach Appropriate Partner(s) based on Feedback & Relationships (TBD)

XV. Partnership Agreement (s) & 1st Project Designated* (TBD)

XVI. Revisit ANSI/ISO Option (TBD)

XVII. Reporting rules changed (2014/15)



Highlights of Paper

"Aligning Marketing and Finance with Generally Accepted Standards for Valuing Brands: Opportunities and Obstacles" <u>Corporate Finance Review (November</u> December 2012)



Current Accounting Landscape

Under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) or International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) rules, the costs of marketing intangibles are usually expensed as incurred

Thus, the cost of internally developed intangibles are not usually not reported on the balance sheet



Criteria for Capitalizing Expenditures

> Assets are only recorded if probable future economic benefits are anticipated

Marketing assets are expensed (along with many other intangibles) because it is assumed that the benefit period is presumed short or the amount of economic benefit cannot be determined



Accounting for Acquired Intangibles— The Paradox

- Recording assets at fair value under GAAP or IFRS is generally limited to intangible assets acquired in a business combination or otherwise purchased
- Internally developed intangible assets are never written up to fair value
- Acquired intangibles are recorded on the balance sheet while internally developed are not
- Roger Sinclair of our IFR Team is preparing a paper examining this paradox
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 13
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 14
 1

Fair Value Accounting

Assets are written up or down depending on market-based measurement (not entity specific)

> Valuation methods are market approach, income approach and/or a cost approach



Some Significant Hurdles

- The Public Companies Oversight Board (PCAOB) examined 250 audits for 2010 performed by Big Four accounting firms
- > 234 audit deficiencies were found of which 92 related to fair-value estimates and 31 related to asset impairments
- Problems for the auditors with management forecasts and assumptions and methodologies that went in to modeling used by corporate pricing services



Weaknesses in Not Using Fair-Value Accounting for Marketing Assets

Balance sheet does not adequately reflect the fair value of marketing assets or in most cases even their existence

Treatment falls short of providing information for evaluation of marketing effectiveness, investment and portfolio optimization, asset management and benchmarking



The Prospects for Fair Value Accounting

- Recording marketing assets on the balance sheet seems years in the future
- Developing a model for recording internally developed marketing assets that measures fair value is critical to its acceptance by FASB and IFRS
- Brand Investment Valuation Model (BIV) Project is a start but there are still many hurdles



On the Other Hand We Need Better Reporting Soon

MASB accepts that fair value reporting of marketing assets on the balance sheet is likely years in the future

Nonetheless, there is a strong need for a consistent dashboard measure for management and investors who need to know if a firm is creating value through investments in advertising and other marketing activities



MASB Proposal

MASB is proposing that disclosure be made in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) section of the firm's annual report

The MD&A disclosure seems to be a solid first step in encouraging development of marketing expenditures and results as seen through the eyes of management



SEC MD&A Objectives

- 1. Provide a narrative explanation of a company's financial statements that enables investors to see the company through the eyes of management
- 2. Enhance the overall financial disclosure and provide the context within which financial information should be analyzed
- 3. Provide information about the quality of, and potential variability of, a company's earnings and cash flow, so that investors can ascertain the likelihood that past performance is indicative of future performance



Example of Disclosure for Product Brand Values

"As of June 30, 2012, the senior management of Gadget Corporation values the Widget Brand at \$2.9B, up 7% from a year ago, and 20% over the past 3 years. We estimate this value using the methodology provided by ValuePack LLC, a MASB Qualified Brand Valuator"



Example of Disclosure for Corporate Brand Values

"We, the senior management of Alpha Corporation, believe the value of our corporate brand as of December 31, 2011, is \$26.4 billion, up 2.7% from a year ago, and down 3.8% over the past 3 years. We estimate this value using the methodology provided by TopBrand, LLC, a MASB Qualified Brand Valuator"



Benefits of this Approach

- Both valuations will use continuous quantitative research study models based on how investments in the product and corporate brand impact future cash flows
- Expect and impact on marketing without disruption of current accounting procedures
- Unification of finance and marketing toward common goal of increasing enterprise value



Additional Benefits

- Consistent diagnostic evaluation of the longterm financial health of a company
- Provides dashboard measure by which company management, investors and employees alike would be able to determine if investments in marketing provided the company with an effective return



- Mixed signals about extensive disclosure in MD&A
- One view is that intangibles can be discussed in the context of competitive position but dollar value not disclosed
- Another view from former SEC Chief Accountant is that disclosure requirements are not bound by the recognition and measurement rules of GAAP
 - More investigation is in the works



Conclusion

MASB's ultimate goal is to reliably tie marketing actions to customer impact, to market outcomes and to financial returns

MASB's ongoing projects are geared to meet this ultimate goal





Team Leaders



Jim Gregory CoreBrand

Michael Moore UCR



Team Heroes

Roger Sinclair At-Large



Dave Stewart LMU



MJ Tisor Kearney



UTA



Wharton



David Haigh Brand Finance

Admin



Meg Blair MAF/MASB



Allan Kuse **MMAP** Center

Team Meets: 4th Friday at 11:00 ET



Fit with MASB Mission

Brand Investment & Valuation (BIV) (Analyzing)

Mission

Establish marketing measurement & accountability standards across industry & domain for continuous improvement in financial performance... ...for the guidance & education of business decision makers & users of performance & financial information.

> Improving Financial Reporting (IFR) (Reporting)







Thank-you!



Marketing Accountability Standards Board of the Marketing Accountability Foundation